

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/759,112

Applicant(s)

MULLER ET AL.

Examiner

Zachariah Lucas

Art Unit

1648

All Participants:(1) Zachariah Lucas.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) James Meadows.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1-3, 6, 10-12, 14, 15-21

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that claims 1, 10- 15, and 19-21 are allowable, and that the application would be ready for allowance upon the cancellation of claims 2, 3, 6, and 13, which fail to further limit the claims from which they depend thereby rendering the claims indefinite, and the cancellation of claims 16-18, drawn to non-elected inventions. It was further noted that claims 19 and 20 would be re-joined to the allowable product claims. The Applicant indicated that these amendments, and that an Examiner's Amendment to such effect, would be acceptable..

